

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
RICHARD J. POCKER (NV Bar No. 3568)
300 South Fourth Street, Suite 800
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Telephone: (702) 382-7300
Facsimile: (702) 382-2755
rpocker@bsflp.com

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (*pro hac vice*)
FRED NORTON (*pro hac vice*)
KIERAN P. RINGGENBERG (*pro hac vice*)
1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900
Oakland, CA 94612
Telephone: (510) 874-1000
Facsimile: (510) 874-1460
sholtzman@bsflp.com
fnorton@bsflp.com
kringgenberg@bsflp.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc.,
Oracle America, Inc. and Oracle International
Corp.

BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP
GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (*pro hac vice*)
THOMAS S. HIXSON (*pro hac vice*)
KRISTEN A. PALUMBO (*pro hac vice*)
Three Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067
Telephone: 415.393.2000
Facsimile: 415.393.2286
geoff.howard@bingham.com
thomas.hixson@bingham.com
kristen.palumbo@bingham.com

DORIAN DALEY (*pro hac vice application
to be submitted*)
DEBORAH K. MILLER (*pro hac vice*)
JAMES C. MAROULIS (*pro hac vice*)
ORACLE CORPORATION
500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5op7
Redwood City, CA 94070
Telephone: 650.506.4846
Facsimile: 650.506.7114
dorian.daley@oracle.com
deborah.miller@oracle.com
jim.maroulis@oracle.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation;
ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware
corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL
CORPORATION, a California corporation,

Plaintiffs,

v.

RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation;
SETH RAVIN, an individual,

Defendants.

Case No 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFFS ORACLE USA, INC.,
ORACLE AMERICA, INC., AND
ORACLE INTERNATIONAL
CORPORATION'S MOTION TO
SEAL REPLY IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION FOR PRESERVATION
ORDER**

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pending before this Court is Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc. and Oracle International Corporation (together “Oracle” or “Plaintiffs”) Motion to Seal Administrative Motion to Permit Plaintiffs to File the Reply in Support of Motion for Preservation Order [Docket -] (“Reply”). Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) provides broad discretion for a trial court to permit sealing of court documents for, inter alia, the protection of “a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). Having considered Plaintiffs’ Motion to Seal, compelling reasons having been shown and good cause existing:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: Plaintiffs’ Motion to Seal is GRANTED.

The Clerk of the Court shall file under seal the unredacted versions of the Reply.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:

By: _____
Hon. Peggy A. Leen
United States Magistrate Judge